

Dynamical Time-Reversal Symmetry Breaking and Photo-Induced Chiral Spin Liquids in Frustrated Mott Insulators

Martin Claassen,^{1,2} Hong-Chen Jiang,² Brian Moritz,² and Thomas P. Devereaux^{2,3,*}

¹*Department of Applied Physics, Stanford University, CA 94305, USA*

²*Stanford Institute for Materials and Energy Sciences, SLAC & Stanford University, CA 94025, USA*

³*Geballe Laboratory for Advanced Materials, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA*
(Dated: Oct 2, 2016)

We show that optical pumping of frustrated Mott insulators with circularly-polarized light drives an effective spin system across a phase transition and into a transient chiral spin liquid (CSL). Starting from a Kagome Hubbard model deep in the Mott phase, circular polarization promotes a scalar spin chirality $\mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k)$ term directly to the Hamiltonian level, dynamically breaking time-reversal while preserving SU(2) spin symmetry. We find that the transient physics is well-captured by an effective Floquet spin model, fingerprint its phase diagram, and find a stable photo-induced CSL in close proximity to the equilibrium state. The results presented suggest a new avenue of employing dynamical symmetry breaking to engineer quantum spin liquids and access elusive phase transitions that are not readily accessible in equilibrium.

Control of quantum materials out of equilibrium represents one of the grand challenges of modern condensed matter physics. While an understanding of general non-equilibrium settings beyond heating and thermalization is still in its infancy, a loophole concerns considering instead the transient quantum states of quasi-periodic perturbations such as wide-envelope laser pulses. Here, much of the intuition and language of equilibrium survives in a distinctly non-equilibrium setting within the framework of Floquet theory. While recently enjoying much attention and experimental success in the manipulation of single-particle spectra [1–4] and band topology, a natural extension regards pumping of strongly-correlated systems. Here, the essence of Floquet physics lies not merely in imbuing one- [1] and two-particle [2] responses with the pump frequency as an additional energy scale, but in “reshaping” the underlying Hamiltonian that governs the system dynamics, in order to stabilize novel phases of matter that might be inaccessible in equilibrium.

Indeed, initial investigations suggest that the notion of effective “low-energy” physics persists in certain high-frequency regimes of time-periodic perturbations, leading for instance to enhancement of correlated hopping [5, 6], strong-field sign reversal of nearest-neighbor Heisenberg exchange in a 1D magnet [7, 8], or enhancement of Cooper-pair formation [9]. Recent advances in Floquet thermodynamics indicate that, while driven non-integrable closed systems are in principle expected to heat up to infinite temperature [10, 11], heating can be exponentially slow [12–16], or can be avoided via many-body localization [17–19] or dissipation [20–22]. An ideal condensed-matter realization hence entails a charge gap to limit absorption, as well as a delicate balance of competing phases, such that time-dependent perturbations and dynamical symmetry breaking can be expected to have an outsized effect and phase boundaries can be reached at moderate effort.

Frustrated quantum magnets [23] are prime candidates for such ideas. In such systems, strong local Coulomb repulsion between electrons freezes out the charge degrees of

freedom, whereas the spin degrees of freedom are geometrically obstructed from ordering, hosting a delicate competition of conventionally-ordered phases as well as quantum spin liquids (QSLs) with long-range entanglement and exotic excitations [24–28]. One of the earliest and most elusive examples of a QSL with topological order, a CSL breaks time-reversal symmetry (TRS) and parity while preserving SU(2) spin symmetry, and can be regarded as a bosonic $\nu = 1/2$ fractional quantum Hall state of spins with zero net magnetization and gapped semion excitations [29–32]. While an unlikely ground state in unperturbed microscopic models, the CSL was recently found to be a competitive state after explicit breaking of TRS and parity [33–37]. However, TRS breaking in experiment is canonically realized via external magnetic fields, necessarily entailing a Zeeman shift as the dominant contribution, which breaks SU(2) symmetry and disfavors CSLs.

Here, we show that pumping a Mott insulator with circularly-polarized light below the Mott gap can dynamically break TRS without breaking of SU(2) or translation symmetry, providing a new knob to drive a frustrated quantum magnet into a CSL. Starting from a prototypical Hubbard model, the key questions posed by this work are three-fold: 1) how does optically-induced time-reversal symmetry breaking manifest itself in a Mott insulator; 2) can the ensuing effective “Floquet spin model” support a transient CSL




FIG. 1. Starting from a Kagome Mott antiferromagnet, pumping with circularly-polarized light dynamically breaks time-reversal and parity while preserving SU(2) spin symmetry, photo-inducing scalar spin chirality $\mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k)$ contributions on elementary equilateral (χ) and isosceles (χ') triangles. Pump strength and frequency provide knobs to tune χ, χ' as well as Heisenberg exchange J, J', J_3 , as described in the main text.




FIG. 2. Examples of (a) nearest-neighbor and (b) three-site Floquet spin-exchange processes including absorption of photons, in the Mott-insulating regime. TRS is broken in (b), inducing scalar spin chiralities $\mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k)$ on triangles of the lattice.

and what are its signatures; and 3) does such an effective Floquet steady state description capture the many-body time evolution of an optically-driven Hubbard model? In the following, we answer all three questions affirmatively.

Floquet-Hubbard Model.— Our focus lies on Kagome antiferromagnets, which have recently garnered much attention due to experimental realizations in herbertsmithite, kapellasite and others [38], with putative spin-liquid behavior at low temperatures [27]. Experiments [39] and first-principles calculations [40, 41] indicate that the ground state and low-energy excitation spectra of these materials are well-captured by antiferromagnetic Heisenberg exchange between d^9 spins localized on Cu. However, as photons couple to charge, a microscopic modelling of light-matter interaction must in principle take into account the multi-orbital structure at higher energies [42], above the ~ 2 eV charge gap [43]. In this letter, we instead take a phenomenological approach, and, as an effective starting point but without pretense of a direct materials connection, start from a driven single-orbital Hubbard model at half filling

$$\hat{H}(t) = -t_h \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma} e^{i \frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{A}(t)} \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma} + U \sum_i \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} \quad (1)$$

Here, t_h, U, e denote nearest-neighbor hopping, Coulomb interaction and electron charge, $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ denotes vectors between sites i, j , and $\mathbf{A}(t) = A(t)[\cos(\Omega t), \sin(\Omega t)]^T$ models a circularly-polarized pump beam with wide pulse envelope $A(t)$, coupling to electrons via Peierls substitution. Comparison of nearest-neighbor exchange $J \approx 4t_h^2/U$ with first-principles predictions for herbertsmithite [41] suggests U/t_h of up to 40 due to the exceedingly narrow width of Cu d -orbital derived bands.

If $A(t)$ varies slowly with respect to the pump period, then the Hamiltonian becomes approximately periodic under a translation $\hat{H}(t+2\pi/\Omega) = \hat{H}(t)$. Floquet theory then dictates that the behavior near the pump plateau is completely determined via many-body eigenstates of the form $|\Psi_n(t)\rangle = e^{-i\epsilon_n t} \sum_m e^{im\Omega t} |\Phi_m\rangle$ with ϵ_n the Floquet quasi-energy, where the $|\Phi_m\rangle$ conveniently follow as eigenstates

of the static Floquet-Hubbard Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} = & -t_h \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma} \mathcal{J}_{m-m'}(A) e^{i(m-m') \arg \mathbf{r}_{ij}} \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma} \otimes |m\rangle \langle m'| \\ & + U \sum_i \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} \otimes \mathbf{1} - \sum_m m\Omega \mathbf{1} \otimes |m\rangle \langle m| \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where A denotes the dimensionless field strength at the pump plateau, such that $A(t) \approx A\hbar/(ea_0)$ with a_0 the nearest-neighbor distance, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the Floquet index, and $\mathcal{J}_m(\cdot)$ denotes the Bessel function of the first kind. Note that the apparent Hilbert space expansion is merely a gauge redundancy of Floquet theory, as eigenstates with energy $\epsilon_n + m\Omega$ identify with the same physical state $\forall m$ [44].

Floquet Chiral Spin Model.— Physically, Eq. (2) describes photon-assisted hopping in the presence of interactions, where electrons can enlist m photons to hop at a reduced energy cost $U - m\Omega$ of double-occupying a site. Deep in the Mott phase the formation of local moments persists out of equilibrium as long as the pump is off resonance and red-detuned from the charge gap. The effect of the laser on the spin system and its ground state is then two-fold. First, photon-assisted hopping reduces the energy cost of virtual exchange, pushing the system closer to the Mott transition and enlarging the range of virtual hopping paths that provide non-negligible contributions to longer-ranged exchange or multi-spin processes. Second, electrons acquire gauge-invariant phases when hopping around loops on the lattice for circular polarization. Crucially, and in contrast to an external magnetic field, an optical pump retains the $SU(2)$ symmetry that is shared by CSL ground states, hence precluding a Zeeman shift. Instead, symmetry considerations dictate that a manifestation of TRS breaking must to lowest-order necessarily involve a photo-induced *scalar spin chirality* χ_{ijk} term, with:

$$\hat{H}_{\text{spin}} = \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + \sum_{ijk} \chi_{ijk} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k) \quad (3)$$

This Floquet Chiral Spin Hamiltonian is the central focus of the paper; to derive it microscopically from the driven Kagome-Hubbard model (1), it is instructive to first consider the high-frequency limit $\Omega \gg U, t_h$. Here, circularly-polarized pumping induces complex nearest-neighbor hoppings $\tilde{t} = t_h(1 - A^2/16) + i(\sqrt{3}/32)t_h^2 A^2/\Omega$ as well as purely-complex next-nearest-neighbor hoppings $\tilde{t}' = -i(\sqrt{3}/32)t_h^2 A^2/\Omega$, analogous to a staggered magnetic flux pattern in the unit cell. To third order in $\tilde{t}, \tilde{t}'$, a spin description then includes scalar spin chirality contributions [45–47], with $\chi = 9\sqrt{3}t_h^4 A^2/2U^2\Omega$ of equal handedness for both elementary triangles per unit cell, as depicted in Fig. 1, and six isosceles triangles with $\chi' = -\chi/3$, such that the total chiral couplings in the unit cell sum to zero.

Consider now sub-gap pumping $\Omega < U$. Starting from the Floquet-Hubbard model (2), a microscopic derivation of the Floquet spin Hamiltonian proceeds via quasi-degenerate perturbation theory, where care must be taken to *simultaneously* integrate out $m \neq 0$ Floquet states and

many-body states with doubly-occupied sites [Supplementary Material]. Fig. 2 depicts relevant virtual processes, involving simultaneous hopping of electrons and absorption of m photons with intermediate energy cost $U - m\Omega$. To first order in $t_h/(U + m\Omega)$, two-site exchange processes [Fig. 2(a)] are phase-agnostic and solely renormalize the nearest-neighbor Heisenberg exchange $\tilde{J} = 4 \sum_m |\mathcal{J}_m(A)|^2 t_h^2 / (U + m\Omega)$ [5, 7]. While every process contributes to Heisenberg exchange, a scalar spin chirality contribution appears for multi-hop processes that enclose an area. Naïvely, to second order in $t_h/(U + m\Omega)$, an electron could simply circumnavigate the elementary triangles of the Kagome lattice; however, these processes interfere destructively and cancel exactly to all orders in A [Supplementary Material]. This is consistent with results on the resonant A_{2g} Raman response of Mott insulators [48], that connect to the $A \rightarrow 0, m = 1$ limit. Instead, time-reversal symmetry breaking first manifests itself to *third* order in $t_h/(U + m\Omega)$. Here, processes as depicted in Fig. 2(b) can either encompass an elementary triangle, or virtually move an electron along and back two legs of a hexagon, inducing scalar spin chirality contributions (3) as shown in Fig. 1, with:

$$\chi = 3 \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \left[\sin \left[\frac{2\pi(m_1 - m_2 + m_3)}{3} \right] \Lambda_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}} - \sin \left[\frac{2\pi m_2}{3} \right] \Lambda_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}} \right] \quad (4)$$

$$\chi' = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \left[\sin \left[\frac{\pi(m_1 - m_2 + m_3)}{3} \right] \Lambda_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}} + \sin \left[\frac{\pi m_2}{3} \right] \Lambda_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}} \right] \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{m} = \{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$ are Floquet indices, and

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}} = \frac{8t_h^4 \mathcal{J}_{m_1}(A) \mathcal{J}_{m_2 - m_1}(A) \mathcal{J}_{m_2 - m_3}(A) \mathcal{J}_{m_3}(A)}{\prod_{i=1}^3 (U - m_i \Omega)} \quad (6)$$

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}} = (1 - \delta_{m_2}) \frac{t_h^4 (U - m_2 \Omega)}{m_2 \Omega} (-1)^{m_1 - m_3} \cos^2 \left[\frac{m_2 \pi}{2} \right] \Lambda_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}} \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, next-nearest-neighbor Heisenberg exchange

$$J' = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \left[\Gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}} - \cos \left[\frac{\pi(m_1 - m_2 + m_3)}{3} \right] \frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}}}{2} - \cos \left[\frac{\pi m_2}{3} \right] \frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}}}{2} \right] \quad (8)$$

$$J_3 = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \left[\Gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}} - \frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}}}{2} - \frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}}}{2} \right]$$

and corrections to nearest-neighbor Heisenberg exchange

$$J = \tilde{J} + \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \left\{ \left[2 + \cos \left[\frac{\pi(m_1 - m_3)}{3} \right] + \cos \left[\frac{2\pi(m_1 - m_3)}{3} \right] + \cos \left[\frac{\pi(m_1 - m_2 + m_3)}{3} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \cos \left[\frac{2\pi(m_1 - m_2 + m_3)}{3} \right] \right] \Lambda_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}} + [1 + \cos \left[\frac{\pi m_2}{3} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \cos \left[\frac{2\pi m_2}{3} \right]] \Lambda_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}} - 5 \Gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}} \right\} \quad (9)$$

appear at the same order, with

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{red}} = \left[\frac{\delta_{m_3,0}}{U - m_1 \Omega} + \frac{\delta_{m_3,0}}{U - m_2 \Omega} \right] \prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{2t_h^2 [\mathcal{J}_{m_i}(A)]^2}{(U - m_i \Omega)} \quad (10)$$

Steady-State Phase Diagram.— Having established the effective steady-state physics for the duration of the pump pulse, the next question concerns whether the photo-induced Floquet spin model (3) can indeed stabilize a CSL.




FIG. 3. Photo-induced Heisenberg exchange and scalar spin chirality terms for a Kagome Mott insulator pumped by circularly-polarized light, as a function of pump strength A and pump frequency. Dashed lines depict one- and two-photon resonances with respect to the Hubbard repulsion U . Equal signs of χ, χ' signify staggering of $\mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k)$ contributions between elementary triangles and isosceles triangles inside the hexagons.

Consider its parameter space as a function of A, Ω , depicted in Fig. 3 for $U = 20t_h$. Adiabatic ramping up of the circularly-polarized pump then corresponds to horizontal trajectories with fixed Ω . Fig. 3 (top panels) shows that TRS-breaking scalar spin chiralities develop with increasing field strength, whereas the effect on longer-ranged Heisenberg exchange is comparatively small (bottom panels). This immediately suggests that circularly-polarized pumping grants a handle to change the underlying many-body state. Close to the one-photon resonance ($\Omega = U$), chiral contributions are staggered between elementary and isosceles triangles [Fig. 1], whereas χ' changes sign when Ω approaches a two-photon resonance ($\Omega = U/2$) [49].

In equilibrium, the corresponding ground state of (3) is gapped and TRS invariant. Absence of conventional spin order is evidenced by a rapid decay of spin-spin and chiral-chiral correlation functions [Fig. 4(a)] at $A = 0$, consistent with density-matrix renormalization group simulations that find a gapped Z_2 QSL [50–53]. We adopt this view for the thermodynamic limit, but note that the ground state degeneracy of a Z_2 QSL remains inaccessible in finite-size ED clusters [54], and the 36-site cluster is likely to host a QSL close to a phase boundary to a valence bond crystal [55]. Switching on the pump field, the spin correlator intriguingly displays no propensity for ordering; however,

the chiral correlation length diverges [Fig. 4(a)]. Beyond a critical pump strength A , a two-fold ground state quasi-degeneracy develops. To track the phase boundary as a function of A, Ω , we determine the parameter space region within which the ground state degeneracy as well as the gap Δ_{CSL} to the many-body excitation manifold above the CSL survives insertion of a flux quantum through the torus [56]. As shown in Fig. 4(b), a robust photo-induced CSL develops already for weak A , with excited states well-separated in energy. We fingerprint this phase by determining a basis of minimally-entangled states from combinations of the two degenerate ground states $|\psi_{1,2}\rangle$, minimizing the Renyi entropy for their reduced density matrices in two distinct bipartitions [Fig. 4(d)] on a 36-site torus. C_6 symmetry allows extraction of both modular $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S}$ matrices [57–59] that encode self- and mutual-braiding statistics of the elementary excitations [24]. We find that the photo-induced CSL corresponds uniquely to a $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ bosonic FQH state [24], with matching modular matrices

$$\mathcal{U} = e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{24} \times 1.02} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.99i \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1.00 & 1.00 \\ 1.00 & -1.02 \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

Time Evolution.— Having established a photo-induced CSL for the Floquet spin model, the final question concerns whether the effective spin model qualitatively captures the time evolution of the driven Hubbard model (1). To recover Floquet spin physics in time evolution, we therefore simulate 12-site $U = 30$ Kagome Hubbard clusters for ultra-slow pump envelopes [Fig. 5(a)] and long times $t \leq 1000 t_h^{-1}$, and compare the transient state to Floquet predictions. We




FIG. 4. (a) Evolution of spin $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle$ (circles on vertices) and chiral $\langle [\mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k)] [\mathbf{S}_l \cdot (\mathbf{S}_m \times \mathbf{S}_n)] \rangle$ (triangles) correlation functions as a function of pump strength A . (b) Minimum CSL excitation gap Δ_{CSL} above two-fold degenerate ground states, under flux insertion through the torus [inset]. (c) Rényi entropies and entanglement minima, of CSL ground state superpositions $\cos(\theta)|\psi_1\rangle + \sin(\theta)e^{i\phi}|\psi_2\rangle$ for two bipartitions of the 36-site torus [inset], discussed in the main text.




FIG. 5. Comparison of the Floquet Heisenberg-chiral spin model (3) and the exact time evolution of the $U = 30$ Kagome Hubbard model (1) driven by circularly-polarized light with ultra-slow adiabatic pump envelopes (a). The scalar spin chiralities $\chi_{ijk} = \langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k) \rangle$ on elementary triangles of the unit cell (b) are depicted in (c). Top row depicts $\chi_{ijk}(t)$ averaged over the pump plateau; bottom panels depict corresponding static expectation values of the Floquet spin model with Heisenberg and chiral couplings chosen as a function of A, Ω .

focus on time-dependent scalar spin chirality expectation values $\chi_{ijk}(t) = \langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k) \rangle$ ($\mathbf{S}_i = \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \mathbf{s}_{\sigma\sigma'} \hat{c}_{i\sigma'}$) on elementary triangles of the Kagome cluster [Fig. 5(b)]. Vanishing in equilibrium due to TRS, $\chi_{ijk}(t)$ is expected to saturate to its Floquet value at the pump plateau, with residual oscillations due to non-adiabaticity suppressed for even longer pump envelopes [see Supplementary Material]. Fig. 5(c) compares $\chi_{ijk}(t)$, time-averaged over the pump plateau, to corresponding static χ_{ijk} expectation values of the Floquet spin model (3) ground state. The latter follows from choosing χ, χ', J, J', J_3 via Eqns. (4)-(10), with A, Ω the pump parameters of the Hubbard time evolution. Intriguingly, even though the electronic system is driven close to the Mott transition, the time evolution is in good agreement with predictions for the Floquet spin model. Residual quantitative discrepancies predominantly originate from photo-induced double occupancies; idiosyncrasies of the small cluster additionally permit weak four-site ring exchange processes along loops around the torus that are absent in the spin description. To test the role of the former, we check the time evolution 1) in the high-frequency limit $\Omega = 80$, and 2) by artificially constraining the Hilbert space to solely permit a single doubly-occupied site [60]. In both cases, excellent quantitative agreement ensues.

In conclusion, we have shown that pumping a frustrated

Mott insulator with circularly-polarized light can dynamically break TRS while preserving SU(2) symmetry of the underlying spin system, by augmenting its effective dynamics with a transient scalar spin chirality term. Remarkably, on the Kagome lattice this effective Floquet spin model was found to stabilize a transient CSL in a broad regime of pump parameters, for pump frequencies below the Mott gap and staggered spin chirality contributions within the unit cell. Having established a photo-induced CSL for the Floquet spin model, we demonstrated agreement with the many-body time evolution of interacting fermions in the parent Hubbard model. Our results suggest that broad-pulse optical perturbations can provide an intriguing new knob to tune the low-energy physics of frustrated quantum magnets, and might shed light on novel regions of their phase diagram hitherto unexplored.

We acknowledge support from the U. S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Science, Division of Materials Science and Engineering under Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515. Computational resources were provided by the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center supported by the Department of Energy, Office of Science, under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed to: M. C. (mclaassen@stanford.edu)

- [1] Y. H. Wang, H. Steinberg, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and N. Gedik, *Science* **342**, 453 (2013).
- [2] J. Kim, X. Hong, C. Jin, S.-F. Shi, C.-Y. S. Chang, M.-H. Chiu, L.-J. Li, and F. Wang, *Science* **346**, 1205 (2014).
- [3] E. J. Sie, J. W. McIver, Y.-H. Lee, L. Fu, J. Kong, and N. Gedik, *Nature Mat.* **14**, 290 (2015).
- [4] F. Mahmood, C.-K. Chan, Z. Alpichshev, D. Gardner, Y. Lee, P. A. Lee, and N. Gedik, *Nature Phys.* **12**, 306 (2016).
- [5] M. Bukov, M. Kolodrubetz, and A. Polkovnikov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 125301 (2016).
- [6] M. Bukov, M. Heyl, D. A. Huse, and A. Polkovnikov, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 155132 (2016).
- [7] J. H. Mentink, K. Balzer, and M. Eckstein, *Nature Comm.* **6**, 6708 (2015).
- [8] A. P. Itin, and M. I. Katsnelson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 075301 (2015).
- [9] M. Knap, M. Babadi, G. Refael, I. Martin, and E. Demler, *arXiv:1511.07874* (2015).
- [10] L. D'Alessio, and M. Rigol, *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 041048 (2014).
- [11] A. Lazarides, A. Das, and R. Moessner, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 012110 (2014).
- [12] E. Canovi, M. Kollar, and M. Eckstein, *Phys. Rev. E* **93**, 012130 (2016).
- [13] T. Kuwahara, T. Mori, and K. Saito, *Ann. Phys.* **367**, 96 (2016).
- [14] T. Mori, T. Kuwahara, and K. Saito, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 120401 (2016).
- [15] D. A. Abanin, W. De Roeck, and F. Huveneers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 256803 (2015).
- [16] M. Bukov, S. Gopalakrishnan, M. Knap, and E. Demler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 205301 (2015).
- [17] L. D'Alessio, and A. Polkovnikov, *Ann. Phys.* **333**, 19 (2013).
- [18] P. Ponte, Z. Papić, F. Huveneers, and D. A. Abanin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 140401 (2015).
- [19] A. Lazarides, A. Das, and R. Moessner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 030402 (2015).
- [20] H. Dehghani, T. Oka, and A. Mitra, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 195429 (2014).
- [21] T. Iadecola, T. Neupert, and C. Chamon, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 235133 (2015).
- [22] K. I. Seetharam, C.-E. Bardyn, N.-H. Lindner, M.-S. Rudner, and G. Refael, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 041050 (2015).
- [23] L. Balents, *Nature* **464**, 199 (2010).
- [24] X.-G. Wen, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **4**, 239 (1990).
- [25] X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 165113 (2002).
- [26] M. Yamashita, N. Nakata, Y. Senshu, M. Nagata, H. M. Yamamoto, R. Kato, T. Shibauchi, and Y. Matsuda, *Science* **328**, 1246 (2010).
- [27] T.-H. Han, J. S. Helton, S. Chu, D. G. Nocera, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, C. Broholm, and Y. S. Lee, *Nature* **492**, 406 (2012).
- [28] A. Banerjee, C. A. Bridges, J.-Q. Yan, A. A. Aczel, L. Li, M. B. Stone, G. E. Granroth, M. D. Lumsden, Y. Yiu, J. Knolle, S. Bhattacharjee, D. L. Kovrizhin, R. Moessner, D. A. Tennant, D. G. Mandrus, and S. E. Nagler, *Nature Mat.*, doi:10.1038/nmat4604 (2016).
- [29] V. Kalmeyer, and R. B. Laughlin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 2095 (1987).
- [30] V. Kalmeyer, and R. B. Laughlin, *Phys. Rev. B* **39**, 11879 (1989).
- [31] D. F. Schroeter, E. Kapit, R. Thomale, and M. Greiter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 097202 (2007).
- [32] R. Thomale, E. Kapit, D. F. Schroeter, and M. Greiter, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 104406 (2009).
- [33] B. Bauer, L. Cincio, B. P. Keller, M. Dolfi, G. Vidal, S. Trebst, and A. W. W. Ludwig, *Nature Comm.* **5**, 5137 (2014).
- [34] A. Wietek, A. Sterdyniak, and A. M. L'uchli, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 125122 (2015).
- [35] K. Kumar, K. Sun, and E. Fradkin, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 094443 (2015).
- [36] C. Hickey, L. Cincio, Z. Papić, and A. Paramekanti, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 137202 (2016).
- [37] A. Wietek, and A. M. L'uchli, *arXiv:1604.07829* (2016).
- [38] M. R. Norman, *arXiv:1604.03048* (2016).
- [39] T. Han, S. Chu, and Y. S. Lee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 157202 (2012).
- [40] O. Janson, J. Richter, and H. Rosner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 106403 (2008).
- [41] H. O. Jeschke, F. Salvat-Pujol, and R. Valentí, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 075106 (2013).
- [42] M. Claassen, C. Jia, B. Moritz, and T. P. Devereaux, *Nature Comm.* **7**, 13074 (2016).
- [43] J. S. Helton, K. Matan, M. P. Shores, E. A. Nytko, B. M. Bartlett, Y. Yoshida, Y. Takano, A. Suslov, Y. Qiu, J.-H. Chung, D. G. Nocera, and Y. S. Lee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 107204 (2007).
- [44] Conceptually, m identifies with photon number; the gauge redundancy then follows from the indistinguishability of a coherent pump field from its state after photon absorption or emission, in the classical limit.
- [45] A. H. MacDonald, S. M. Girvin, and D. Yoshioka, *Phys. Rev. B* **37**, 9753 (1988).
- [46] D. Sen, and R. Chitra, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 1922 (1995).
- [47] O. I. Motrunich, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 155155 (2006).
- [48] W.-H. Ko, Z.-X. Liu, T.-K. Ng, and P. A. Lee, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 024414 (2010).

- [49] A detailed discussion of one- vs. two-photon-mediated scalar spin chirality contributions can be found in the Supplementary Material.
- [50] H. C. Jiang, Z. Y. Weng, and D. N. Sheng, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 117203 (2008).
- [51] S. Yan, D. A. Huse, and S. R. White, *Science* **332**, 1173 (2011).
- [52] H. C. Jiang, Z. Wang, and L. Balents, *Nature Phys.* **8**, 902 (2012).
- [53] S. Depenbrock, I. P. McCullough, and U. Schollwöck, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 067201 (2012).
- [54] A. M. Läuchli, J. Sudan, and E. S. Sørensen, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 212401 (2011).
- [55] T. Li, arXiv:1106.6134 (2011).
- [56] The winding of the quasi-degenerate ground states upon flux insertion is a signature of CSLs, with the two quasi-degenerate ground states winding once under flux insertion, or remaining separated, depending on whether they lie in different or the same momentum sectors.
- [57] Y. Zhang, T. Grover, A. Turner, M. Oshikawa, and A. Vishwanath, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 235151 (2012).
- [58] L. Cincio, and G. Vidal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 067208 (2013).
- [59] W. Zhu, D. N. Sheng, and F. D. M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 035122 (2013).
- [60] See Supplementary Material.